

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE .	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/468,501	12/21/1999	AL MITREVICS	187627	3716
7	590 04/09/2002			
LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER LTD TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA 180 NORTH STETSON			EXAMINER	
			DORSEY, DENNIS	
SUITE 4900 CHICAGO, IL 606016780			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
011101100,12			, 3637	
			DATE MAILED: 04/09/2002	
			<i>;</i>	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				\mathcal{A}				
v		Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)					
		09/468,501	MITREVICS, AL					
•	Gific Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit					
<u></u>		Dennis L Dorsey	3637					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears n the cover sheet with the correspondence address Peri d f r R ply								
A SHO THE M - Extensi after SI - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reeriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuly received by the Office later than three months after the mailipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. 136(a). In no event, however, mappy within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) te, cause the application to become	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this connected the c					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08</u>	3 January 2002 .						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disp sition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.								
4:	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.						
5) 🗌 C	claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7) 🗌 C	claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) 1-17 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)□ Th	e drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acc	epted or b) Objected to	by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	e proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner	·.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)⊡ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 								
Attachment(s)								
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revi w (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pap r No(s)	5) Notice	iew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s e of Informal Patent Application (PTO:					

Art Unit: 3637

DETAILED ACTION

The proposed election/restriction listed below in this office action is being provided to further clarify which claims read on each particular species. The provisionally elected species and claims made by the Applicant with traverse in paper 4, filed April 6, 2001 was later found not to be persuasive by this office and the provisionally election was made final. However, further examination of the case showed that the election made was incomplete.

Election/Restrictions

- 1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
- A. Figures 14a, 14b, and 14c (claims 7-17 are believed readable by Examiner).
- B. Figures 15 and 15a (claims 8-11 are believed readable by the Examiner).
- C. Figures 16 and 16a (claims 1-6 are believed readable by the Examiner).
- D. Figures 17 and 17a (claims 1-6 are believed readable by the Examiner).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Application/Control Number: 09/468,501

Art Unit: 3637

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. A telephone call was made to James B. Muskal on April 4, 2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 09/468,501

Art Unit: 3637

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Page 4

examiner should be directed to Dennis L Dorsey whose telephone number is 703-306-

9137. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday 7:30am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on 703-308-2486. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9326 for

regular communications and 703-872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

1020.

DLD*[][[][]* April 4, 2002

> LANNA MAI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMI..... TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

> > 1 amama